

In addition to my earlier objection I wish to add to my reasons that I am now also concerned that the developer has just confirmed, at this late stage, that the high-pressure gas pipeline that runs alongside the proposed battery storage compound is live. This important point has emerged very late in the Examination and raises more questions about the implications of locating a 100MW BESS alongside nationally significant gas infrastructure.

I am frustrated that such key documents have been published so late by the Planning Inspectorate and in two separate batches, leaving only a handful of working days before the next deadline. This has made it very difficult for anyone to review the evidence properly and submit informed responses. It also clearly benefits the developer but everyone deserves a fair opportunity to consider the late-published documents in order to protect democratic process.

I apologise if have not clicked on the correct link to make these additional comments but I do find the options extremely confusing. It seems to me that unless you're a highly trained Barrister who has been closely following every step of the process you have no hope of understanding which box to tick. Please don't let that be a reason for you to reject my comments.